



e- Syllabus

| 1 | Course title | Translation Criticism |
|-------|--|---|
| 2 | Course number | 2201749 |
| 3 | Credit hours | 3 |
| Č | Contact hours (theory, practical) | 3 |
| 4 | Prerequisites/corequisites | |
| 5 | Program title | Master Degree in Translation / English Language |
| 6 | Program code | |
| 7 | Awarding institution | University of Jordan |
| 8 | School | School of Foreign Languages |
| 9 | Department | Department of English Language and Literature |
| 10 | Level of course | Postgraduate/MA |
| 11 | Year of study and semester (s) | |
| 12 | Final Qualification | MA |
| 13 | Other department (s) involved in teaching the course | |
| 14 | Language of Instruction | English |
| 15 | Teaching methodology | □Blended □Online □Face to face |
| 16 | Electronic plotform(s) | □E-learning □Microsoft Teams □Skype □Zoom |
| 16 | Electronic platform(s) | □Others |
| 17 | Date of production/revision | November 2023 |
| 18 Co | ourse Instructor: | |
| Nam | ne: | |
| Offic | ce number: | |
| Pho | ne number: | |
| Ema | il: | |





e- Syllabus

20 Course Description:

This course deals with the extent to which translation theory is applied in published translation texts. Students will be trained in analyzing\ assessing critically various aspects of translation observed in SL and TL texts such as synonyms, antonyms, lexical universals, conceptual terminology, semantic fields, phatic and evaluative language, lexical\ syntactic accuracy... etc. Students will also be trained to propose appropriate translation methods in relation to source text writer's intention and translator's interpretation of the text.

21 Course aims and outcomes:



- A- Aims: (PLOs)
- 1- Identify and discuss the major theories that apply to translation.
- 2- Describe the relationship between translation, interpreting, and other aspects of language use and communication.
- 3- Explain the theoretical basis for a scholarly analysis of translation.
- 4- Translate efficiently various types of texts from English into Arabic and vice versa.
- 5- Adapt theoretical information to help achieve the purpose of the translation in different fields.
- 6- Demonstrate the ability to undertake consecutive translation and simultaneous interpretation tasks with proficiency, and recognize the existing methods and approaches available for carrying out such tasks.
- 7- Discuss and choose problem-solving techniques and skills when translating specialized texts.
- 8- Create solutions based on knowledge of translation studies.
- 9- Work efficiently, both independently and collaboratively, and uphold social and professional responsibilities.
- 10- Demonstrate lifelong professional development appreciation and manifest serious commitment towards generating knowledge by using Information Communication Technology (ICT) efficiently.
- 11- Prepare and present seminars to a professional standard.
- 12- Design and carry out, interpret and critique research in the discipline and write theses or reports to a professional standard, equivalent in quality to that of publishable papers.
- B- Intended Learning Outcomes (ILOs):

Upon successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

| | | | | | P | rog | ram | 0 | uto | con | nes | | | | | As | sses | ssm | ent | t To | ols | | |
|-----|--|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---|----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|---|----|
| No. | Course Learning Outcomes | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 0 | 1 1 | 1 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| 1 | Demonstrate an understanding of the concept of translation criticism and its purposes. | X | | X | | X | X | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | X |
| 2 | Identify the models of translation criticism. | X | X | | | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | X |
| 3 | Criticize translations according to criticism models developed in the light of translation theories. | | X | | | X | X | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | X | | |





| 4 | Develop awareness about all the factors and elements in the process of translation (translation as a communicative act: intention, function, text type, register, strategies, principles, constraints, audience). | X | | | X | X | | | | | | | X | | X | | X | X | X | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| 5 | Recognize and describe linguistic and non-linguistic differences that affect translation and interpretation from the source language into the target language. | X | | X | X | X | | | | | | | X | X | | | X | X | X | |
| 6 | Demonstrate advanced skills in evaluating translations. | X | | | X | X | X | X | X | | | X | X | | | | | | X | |
| 7 | Describe and evaluate the quality of a translation with more than a single attribute. | X | | | X | X | X | | | | X | | X | | | X | | | X | |
| 8 | Identify the problems encountered in literary translation from Arabic into English and Vise-versa. | X | X | | X | X | | | | | X | | X | | | | | X | X | |

22. Topic Outline and Schedule:

| Week | Lectur e | Торіс | Intended Learning Outcomes | Teaching Methods*/ platform | Evaluation Methods** | References |
|------|-------------|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 1.1 | Introduction to translation criticism | 1,4,8 | In class | In-class tasks | Handout and Main book 1 |
| 2 | 2.1 | The Potential of Translation Criticism | 5, 6,8 | In class | In-class tasks | Main book1 |





e- Syllabus

| | | Criticism and | 5, 6,8 | | | | |
|----|--------------|------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|---------------|--|
| 3 | 3.1 | the target | 3, 0,0 | | In-class | | |
| | 3.1 | language text | | In class | tasks | Main book1 | |
| | | language text | | III Class | tasks | Wall book! | |
| | | Criticism and | 5, 6,8 | | | | |
| 4 | 4.1 | the source | | | In-class | | |
| | | language text | | In class | tasks | Main book1 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | The linguistic | 5, 6, 7 | | | | |
| 5 | 5.1 | components and | | | | | |
| | | Extra-linguistic | | | In-class | | |
| | | determinants | | In class | tasks | Main book1 | |
| | | The | 5, 6, 7 | In class | In-class | Main book1 | |
| | | Limitations of | 3, 0, 7 | III Class | tasks | Within book! | |
| 6 | 6.1 | Translation | | | tasks | | |
| | | Criticism | | | | | |
| | | Criticism | | | | | |
| | | Objective and | 5, 6, 7, 8, | In class | In-class | Main book1 | |
| | | subjective | 9 | | tasks | | |
| 7 | 7.1 | limits of | | | | | |
| | ,,,, | translation | | | | | |
| | | criticism | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 8 | 8.1 | Midterm Exam | | | | | |
| | | Midterm exam | | | | | |
| 9 | 9.1 | questions and | | | | | |
| | <i>,</i> ,,, | answers | | | | | |
| | | discussion | | In class | | | |
| | | The special | 5, 6, 7, 8 | | | | |
| 10 | 10.1 | function of | | | In-class | | |
| | | translation | | In class | tasks | Main book1 | |
| | | TD 1 1 | <i>5.6.</i> 7 | | | | |
| | | Translation | 5, 6, 7 | | | | |
| 11 | 11.1 | Theory and Translation | | | | | |
| | 11.1 | Quality | | | In-class | | |
| | | Assessment | | In class | tasks | Main book2 | |
| | | | | 111 01488 | iasks | IVIAIII UUUKZ | |
| | | Different | 2, 5, 6, 7, | | | | |
| | | Approaches to | 8, 9 | | | | |
| | | Translation | | | | | |
| 12 | 12.1 | Theory and | | | | | |
| | | Translation | | | T 1 | | |
| | | Quality | | To all | In-class | Main 11-2 | |
| | | Assessment | | In class | tasks | Main book2 | |





| | | The Original | 2, 5, 6, 7,8 | | | | |
|----|-------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | House Model of | | | | | |
| | | Translation | | | | | |
| 13 | 13.1 | Quality | | | | | |
| 13 | 13.1 | Assessment and | | | | | |
| | | Implementation | | | | | |
| | | of the Original | | | In-class | | |
| | | House Model | | In class | tasks | Main book2 | |
| | | TD 1 N | 1.10 | | | | |
| | | Towards a New | 1-12 | | | | |
| | | Integrative | | | | | |
| 14 | 14.3 | Model of | | | | | |
| | - 110 | Translation | | | | | |
| | | Quality | | | | | |
| | | Assessment | | In class | | Main book2 | |
| | | Revision and | 1-12 | | | | |
| 15 | 15.1 | concluding | 1-12 | | | | |
| | 13.1 | _ | | In class | Disquesien | Main book2 | |
| | | remarks | | III Class | Discussion | Maiii book2 | |

- **Teaching methods include**: Synchronous lecturing/meeting; Asynchronous lecturing/meeting; discussion
- Assessment methods include: 1. quizzes, 2. assignments, 3. midterm, 4. projects, 5. interview, 5. case studies, 6. presentation, 7. filed study 8. term papers, 9. student portfolio, 10. final exam

23 Evaluation Methods:

Opportunities to demonstrate achievement of the ILOs are provided through the following assessment methods and requirements:

| Evaluation Activity | Mark | Topic(s) | Intended Learning outcome | Period (Week) | Platform |
|--|------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| Participation+ Presentation+ Project | 30 | Term papers and critiques | 1-10 | 1-14 | On campus |
| Midterm Exam | 30 | Lectures 1-7 content | 1-10 | 1-7 | On campus |
| Final Exam | 40 | Lectures 1-14 content | 1-10 | 1-14 | On campus |

24 Course Requirements

Students should have a computer, internet connection, webcam, and account on a Microsoft Teams.

25 Course Policies:





- A- Attendance policies:
- B- Absences from exams and submitting assignments on time:
- C- Health and safety procedures:
- D- Honesty policy regarding cheating, plagiarism, misbehavior:
- E- Grading policy:
- F- Available university services that support achievement in the course:

26 References:

- A- Required book(s), assigned reading and audio-visuals:
- 1. Reiss, Katharina. (2000). Translation Criticism—The Potentials and Limitations; Categories and Criteria for Translation Quality and Assessment. Manchester: St. Jerome.
- 2. House, J. (2014). Translation Quality Assessment: Past and present. Routledge: New York.

Recommended books, materials, and media:

Bittner, H. (2020). Evaluating the Evaluator: A Novel Perspective on Translation Quality Assessment. Routledge: New York.

| 2 | 27 Additional information: | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

28. Rubric for correcting writing tasks:

| Quality | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | Responses at this | Responses at this |
| | level: | level: | level: | level: | level: | level: |
| Meaning: the extent | reveal an in- | -convey a | convey a basic | convey a basic | convey a vague | provide no |
| to which the | depth | thorough | understanding of | understanding | or inaccurate | evidence of |
| response exhibits | analysis of | understanding | the text | of the | understanding of | understanding |
| sound | the | of the | | documents* | the documents | |
| understanding, | documents | documents | -make implicit | | | -make no |
| interpretation, and | | | connections | -make few or | -allude to the text | connections |
| analysis of the task | -make | -make clear | between | superficial | but make unclear | between |
| and text(s) | insightful | and explicit | information and | connections | or unwarranted | information in the |





e- Syllabus

| | connections between information and ideas in the documents and the assigned task | connections between information and ideas in the documents and the assigned task | ideas in the text and the assigned task | and ideas in the documents and the assigned task | connections to the assigned task | text and the assigned task |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Development: the extent to which ideas are elaborated using specific and relevant evidence from the text(s) | develop ideas clearly and fully, making effective use of a wide range of relevant and specific details from the documents | -develop ideas clearly and consistently. Using relevant and specific details from the documents | develop ideas inconsistently, using relevant details from the text | develop ideas simply, using some details from the documents | are largely undeveloped, hinting at ideas that are sketchy, vague, irrelevant, or repetitive | are minimal, with no evidence of development |
| Organization: the extent to which the response exhibits direction, shape, and coherence | -maintain a clear and appropriate focus -exhibit a logical and coherent structure through effective use of appropriate devices and transitions | -maintain a clear and appropriate focus -exhibit a logical sequence of ideas through the use of appropriate devices and transitions | maintain a clear and appropriate focus -exhibit a rudimentary structure but may include some inconsistencies or irrelevancies | establish, but fail to maintain, an appropriate focus -exhibit uneven organization | lack an appropriate focus, but suggest some organization | show no focus or organization |
| Language Use: the extent to which the response reveals an awareness of audience and purpose through effective use of words, sentence structure, and sentence variety | -are stylistically sophisticated, using original and precise language with a notable sense of voice and awareness of audience and purpose -vary structure and length of sentences for effect | use language that is fluent and engaging, with some awareness of audience and purpose -show consistent use of sentences that are varied in length and structure | -use appropriate language, with some awareness of audience and purpose -occasionally vary length and structure of sentences | -rely on language from the documents and basic vocabulary -rely on sentences that are unvaried in length and structure | use language that is imprecise or unsuitable for the audience or purpose -rely on sentences that lack variety and may be constructed incorrectly | are minimal -use language that is incoherent or inappropriate |
| Conventions: the extent to which the response exhibits conventional spelling, punctuation, paragraphing, capitalization, grammar, and usage | -demonstrate control of the conventions | -demonstrate control of the conventions, with very few minor errors that have no effect on comprehension | demonstrate partial control, exhibiting some sentence-level errors that do not hinder comprehension | demonstrate partial control, exhibiting errors that occasionally hinder comprehension | demonstrate a lack of control, exhibiting many errors that make comprehension difficult | are minimal -may be illegible or not recognizable as English |





e- Syllabus

http://www.tnellen.com/westside/rubric.html

| Name of Course Coordinator: Aseel Zibin Signature: - | Date: |
|--|------------|
| Head of Curriculum Committee/Department: | Signature: |
| Head of Department: | Signature: |
| Head of Curriculum Committee/Faculty: | Signature: |
| Dann | Signatura |